

## Utjecaj varijacija dobi i spola na stopu glomerularne filtracije izračunate jednadžbom MCQE

### Influence of age and gender variations on glomerular filtration rate estimated by the MCQE formula

Giuseppe Lippi<sup>1</sup>, Nicola Tessitore<sup>2</sup>, Martina Montagnana<sup>1</sup>, Valeria Bedogna<sup>2</sup>, Gian Luca Salvagno<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni Targher<sup>3</sup>, Antonio Lupo<sup>2</sup>, Gian Cesare Guidi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Odsjek za kliničku kemiju, Odjel za morfološke i biomedicinske znanosti; Sveučilište u Veroni, Verona, Italija

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Clinical Chemistry, Department of Morphological and Biomedical Science; University of Verona, Verona, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Odsjek za nefrologiju, Sveučilište u Veroni, Verona, Italija

<sup>2</sup>Nephrology Section, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Odsjek za endokrinologiju i bolesti metabolizma, Zavod za biomedicinske i kirurške znanosti, Sveučilište u Veroni, Verona, Italija

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, Department of Biomedical and Surgical Sciences, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

#### Sažetak

**Uvod:** U kliničkoj se praksi stopa glomerularne filtracije (engl. *glomerular filtration rate*, GFR) rutinski određuje jednadžbom temeljenoj na koncentraciji kreatinina u serumu, razvijenoj i potvrđenoj u okviru studije MDRD (engl. *Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study*, MDRD). Međutim, budući da jednadžba podcjenjuje smanjenje GFR, razvijena je jednadžba MCQE (engl. *Mayo Clinic Quadratic Equation*, MCQE).

**Ispitanici i metode:** Svrha ovoga istraživanja bila je analizirati utjecaj varijacije dobi i spola kod određivanja GFR pomoću jednadžbe MCQE. Iz baze podataka našega laboratorijskog informatičkog sustava uzeti su rezultati 16.631 određivanja koncentracije kreatinina u serumu provedenih na uzastopnim ispitanicima.

**Rezultati:** Razlika u određivanju GFR između muškaraca i žena bila je statistički značajna kod računanja objema jednadžbama (MDRD i MCQE). Statistički značajne razlike zabilježene su kod svih dobnih skupina u razredima od po deset godina kod računanja jednadžbom MCQE, a kod računanja jednadžbom MDRD samo kod ispitanika starijih od 51 godine. Zabilježena je i statistički značajna razlika u srednjoj vrijednosti GFR određenoj objema jednadžbama između različitih dobnih skupina u razredima od po deset godina kod oba spola.

**Zaključak:** Rezultati ove epidemiološke analize potvrđuju da se niti jednadžbom MCQE ne mogu nadomjestiti varijacije dobi i spola.

**Glavne riječi:** kreatinin; stopa glomerularne filtracije; bubrežna funkcija; MDRD; MCQE

#### Abstract

**Background:** In clinical practice, the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is routinely estimated by the creatinine-based equation developed and validated from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study. However, since this formula estimates the rate of decline in GFR, the Mayo Clinic Quadratic Equation (MCQE) has been developed.

**Petients and methods:** The purpose of this study was to assess the influence of age and gender variations on GFR estimated by this new equation. Results of 16,631 serum creatinine tests performed in consecutive outpatients were retrieved from the database of our Laboratory Information System. GFR was estimated by both the MDRD and MCQE formulas.

**Results:** The difference in the estimated GFR between males and females was significantly different when calculated with either MDRD or MCQE formula. Significant gender differences were observed in all age decades by MCQE and in subjects aged >51 years by MDRD. A significant difference in the mean GFR values estimated by either formula was also observed between different age decades in both genders.

**Conclusions:** The results of this epidemiological analysis indicated the MCQE formula also fail to compensate for age and gender variations.

**Key words:** creatinine; glomerular filtration rate; kidney function; MDRD; MCQE

Pristiglo: 13. studenoga 2008.

Prihvaćeno: 2. siječnja 2009.

Received: November 13, 2008

Accepted: January 2, 2009

## Uvod

Stopa glomerularne filtracije (engl. *glomerular filtration rate*, GFR) smatra se najboljim pokazateljem bubrežne funkcije, pa ju Američke nacionalna bubrežna zaklada (engl. *National Kidney Foundation*, NKF) inicijative i različiti programi usavršavanja (engl. *Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative*, K/DOQI; *National Kidney Disease Education Program*, NKDEP) preporučuju za dijagnosticiranje oštećenja bubrega i kategorizaciju težine bolesti (1). Tradicionalno se GFR ne može izmjeriti izravno, već se određuje mjerenjem mokraćnog klirensa egzogenih biljega filtracije. Međutim, zbog komplicirane uporabe, troškova, izloženosti radijaciji i administrativnih zahtjeva u radu s radionuklidima, te metode imaju ograničenu uporabu i svode se na istraživačku aktivnost (2). Stoga se danas preporuča određivanje GFR jednadžbama temeljenim na koncentraciji kreatinina u serumu u oba slučaja, kod analiziranja bubrežne funkcije (2) ili predviđanja kardiovaskularnih oštećenja (3). Dosada je predloženo nekoliko jednadžba, a trenutno se preporuča jednadžba razvijena u okviru studije MDRD (engl. *Modification of Diet in Renal Disease*, MDRD) (2). Ova je jednadžba prihvatljivija od tradicionalne Cockcroft-Gaultove jednadžbe za predviđanje GFR vrijednosti manje od 60 mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup> (izmjerene upotrebom radionuklida) i točnija je od slučajeva kada su izmjerene koncentracije kreatinina u serumu manje od 60 μmol/L ili ispravljene na 60 μmol/L ili isključene iz računanja GFR (4). Međutim, naglašava se da bi ova jednadžba mogla imati nekih nedostataka, budući da ne uspijeva korigirati rezultate za dob i spol (5) i nije uspješno ispitana na djeci, starijim osobama, trudnicama, bolesnicima s ozbiljnim komorbiditetima ili osobama ekstremne tjelesne veličine (1). Štoviše, budući da se pokazalo kako bi jednadžba MDRD mogla statistički značajno podcijeniti smanjenje GFR kad se ona mjeri referentnom metodom, razvijena je nova jednadžba MCQE (engl. *Mayo Clinic Quadratic Equation*, MCQE) (6). Stoga je glavna svrha ovoga istraživanja bila analizirati utjecaj varijacija dobi i spola na određivanje GFR ovom novom jednadžbom.

## Ispitanici i metode

### Ispitanici

Kod uzastopnih ispitanika, što su ih njihovi liječnici opće prakse uputili na rutinsku pretragu krvne slike, koncentracije kreatinina u serumu dobivene su iz baze podataka našega laboratorijskog informatičkog sustava u Sveučilišnoj bolnici u Veroni. Uzorci venske krvi su se rutinski prikupljali ujutro natašte.

### Metode

Koncentracija kreatinina u serumu izmjerena je sustavom Roche/Hitachi Modular System P (Roche Diagnosti-

## Introduction

Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is considered the best index of kidney function, which is also recommended by the National Kidney Foundation (NKF), the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) and the National Kidney Disease Education Program (NKDEP) for diagnosing renal damage and classifying the severity of kidney disease (1). Traditionally, GFR cannot be measured by direct means, but it can be assessed by measuring urinary clearance of exogenous filtration markers. However, due to difficulty in use, expenses, radiation exposure, and radionuclide regulatory requirements, these methods have limited use and are typically confined to the research setting (2). Therefore, it is now recommended to estimate GFR by equations based on serum creatinine, either to assess renal function (2) or to predict cardiovascular outcome (3). Several formulas have been proposed so far, but the currently recommended equation is that developed and validated from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study (2). This formula is superior to the traditional Cockcroft-Gault equation for prediction of radionuclide determined GFR of < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and is more accurate when creatinine results lower than 60 μmol/L are either corrected to 60 μmol/L or excluded from GFR calculations (4). However, it has also been highlighted that this formula might have some drawbacks, since it fails to correct results for age and gender (5), and it has not been sufficiently tested in children, the elderly, pregnant women, patients with serious comorbidities, or persons with extremes of body size (1). Moreover, since it has also been demonstrated that the MDRD formula might significantly underestimate the rate of decline in GFR when measured by a reference method, the new Mayo Clinic Quadratic Equation (MCQE) has been developed (6). Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to assess the influence of age and gender variations on GFR estimated by this new equation.

## Patients and methods

### Patients

Results of serum creatinine tests, which were performed in consecutive outpatients referred by general practitioners for routine blood testing over the past year, were retrieved from the database of our Laboratory Information System at the University Hospital of Verona. Fasting venous blood was routinely collected from outpatients in the morning.

### Methods

Serum creatinine was measured on a Roche/Hitachi Modular System P (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) by creatinine Jaffe, rate blanked and compen-

cs GmbH, Mannheim, Njemačka) Jaffeovom kinetičkom metodom za određivanje kreatinina. Kvaliteta rezultata tijekom istraživanja ocjenjivala se redovnom unutarnjom kontrolom kvalitete te sudjelovanjem u programu vanjske procjene kvalitete rada.

Procjena GFR se u cijelom istraživanju računala jednadžbom MDRD (2):

$$\text{GFR} = 186 \times (\text{koncentracija kreatinina u serumu}^{-1,154}) \times (\text{dob}^{-0,203}) \times 1,212 \text{ (ako je Afroamerikanac)} \times 0,742 \text{ (za ženski spol);}$$

i jednadžbom MCQE:

$$\text{GFR} = \exp [1,911 + (5,249/\text{koncentracija kreatinina u serumu}) - (2,114/\text{koncentracija kreatinina u serumu}^2) - 0,00686 \times \text{dob}^{-0,205} \text{ (za ženski spol)}].$$

Ako je koncentracija kreatinina u serumu <71  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ , zamjenjuje se vrijednošću 71  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  (6).

### Statistička analiza

Shapiro-Wilkinsonovim testom ispitana je normalnost razdiobe, a kako bi se ona poboljšala prije same analize su varijable logaritamski transformirane. Kruskal-Wallisovim testom ispitano je postojanje statistički značajne razlike između GFR u podskupinama prema dobnim razredima. Statističke su analize napravljene pomoću statističkog programskog paketa SPSS verzija 12.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, SAD), a razina statističke značajnosti postavljena je na 0,05. Podaci su prikazani kao geometrijska sredina s intervalom pouzdanosti 95% (engl. *confidence interval*, CI).

### Rezultati

Ukupni rezultati mjerenja koncentracije kreatinina u serumu dobiveni su za 16.631 ispitanika tijekom razdoblja od 1 godine (M/Ž = 7.231/9.400; dob 57  $\pm$  15 godina; raspon: 21–79 godina). Srednje vrijednosti (95% CI) kreatinina, GFR izračunate iz koncentracije kreatinina jednadžbama MDRD i MCQE iznosile su 72  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  (48–128  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ), 78 mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup> (38–129 mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>) i 94 mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup> (35–134 mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>). Razlika u izračunatoj GFR između muškaraca i žena bila je statistički značajna, bez obzira kojom se jednadžbom računala [srednja vrijednost (95% CI)]: MDRD [91 (44–127) prema 87 (48–138) mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>; P < 0,001] ili MCQE [109 (46–142) prema 95 (65–120) mL/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>; P < 0,001]. Zabilježena je statistički značajna razlika između muškaraca i žena u svim dobnim skupinama u razredima od po deset godina kod izračuna jednadžbom MCQE i kod ispitanika starijih od 51 godine kod izračuna jednadžbom MDRD (Tablica 1.). Statistički značajna razlika između različitih dobnih razreda kod oba spola zabilježena je također kod srednjih vrijednosti GFR izračunatih objema jednadžbama (svi P < 0,001).

sated assay. The quality of results throughout the study was validated through regular internal quality control procedures and participation in an External Quality Assessment Scheme. GFR was estimated on the entire study population by the MDRD (2):

$$\text{GFR} = 186 \times (\text{serum creatinine}^{-1,154}) \times (\text{age}^{-0,203}) \times 1,212 \text{ (if African-American)} \times 0,742 \text{ (if female);}$$

and MCQE:

$$\text{GFR} = \exp [1,911 + (5,249/\text{serum creatinine}) - (2,114/\text{serum creatinine}^2) - 0,00686 \times \text{age}^{-0,205} \text{ (if female)}].$$

If serum creatinine value is < 71  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  it is replaced by 71  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  (6).

### Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilkinson test was used for assessment of normality of variable distributions. Variables were logarithmically transformed to improve normality prior to analysis. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to evaluate the existence of statistically significant difference in GFR according to age decades. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and the level of statistical significance was set at 0.05. Data are presented as geometric mean and 95% confidence interval (CI).

### Results

Cumulative results for serum creatinine levels were retrieved for 16,631 outpatients over the 1-year period (M/F=7,231/9,400; age 57 $\pm$ 15 years; range 21–79 years). The mean values (95% CI) of creatinine, MDRD- and MCQE-estimated GFR were 72  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  (48–128  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ), 78 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (38–129 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) and 94 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (35–134 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), respectively. Gender difference in the estimated GFR was significantly different when calculated with either the MDRD [mean (95% CI)]: [91 (44–127) vs. 87 (48–138) mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; P < 0.001] or MCQE [109 (46–142) vs. 95 (65–120) mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; P < 0.001] formula. Significant gender differences were observed in all age decades by MCQE and in subjects older than 51 by MDRD (Table 1). A significant difference in the mean GFR values estimated by either formula was also observed between different age decades in both genders (P < 0.001 all). Linear regression analysis revealed an inverse association between age and estimated GFR by both the MDRD (males: standardized beta coefficient = –0.384; P < 0.001; females: standardized beta coefficient = –0.437; P < 0.001) and MCQE (males: standardized beta coefficient = –0.639; P < 0.001; females: standardized beta coefficient = –0.816; P < 0.001) formulas, with a constant mean decrease of 7% for each decade increase in age, in both genders and for either equation.



da bi nedavno izvedena jednadžba MCQE mogla poboljšati predviđanje GFR, osobito kod ispitanika sa šećernom bolešću (8). Novija izvješća ukazuju na činjenicu da se izračunom objema jednadžbama (MDRD i MCQE) dobije ista granična vrijednost GFR (60 mL/min 1,73 m<sup>2</sup>) kod oba spola. Nedavno su Khatami i sur. naglasili da se jednoznačno određena GFR izračunata jednadžbom MDRD ne može rabiti za različite dobi i spol (9), budući da je razlika između muškaraca i žena statistički značajna i budući da postoji obrnuta povezanost između dobi i GFR kod oba spola. Međutim, ne postoje informacije o mogućem utjecaju varijacija dobi i spola na GFR izračunatu jednadžbom MDRD. Iako je nedavno postavljena hipoteza da bi izračun GFR novom jednadžbom mogao biti točniji (6), rezultati ove epidemiološke analize potvrdili su da niti jednadžba MCQE ne može nadoknaditi varijacije dobi i spola, jer zahtijeva uporabu različitih korektivnih parametara. Osobito valja naglasiti da bi statističke razlike između spola i dobnih razreda od po deset godina mogle biti rezultatom činjenice da manja tjelesna veličina ima niže metaboličke zahtjeve te da je, prema tome, nižim osobama potrebna manja funkcija bubrega. Kao logična posljedica slijedi da nedostatak referentnih raspona prilagođenih dobi i spolu kod procjena objema jednadžbama (MDRD i MCQE) ukazuje na to da bi mnogim bolesnicima sa srednjim do teškim bubrežnim oštećenjem mogla biti pogrešno postavljena dijagnoza.

Svjesni smo da bi rezultati ovoga istraživanja mogli imati neka ograničenja. Retrospektivna revizija zapravo predstavlja samo populaciju obrađenu u našem laboratoriju, stoga nam nedostaju klinički podaci s uputnicama i analiza kontrolnih pregleda. Stoga je, kako bi se potvrdili preliminarni rezultati, potrebno provesti daljnja prospektivna istraživanja po mogućnosti na heterogenijoj populaciji. Štoviše, GFR nismo mogli procijeniti pomoću zlatnog standarda. Važno je naglasiti da se izračunom GFR sadašnjim referentnim pristupom, koji uključuje klirens egzogenih biljega filtracije, ne može procijeniti filtracija kod zdravih ispitanika. Upotreba jednadžba u koje je uključena koncentracija cistatina C samo je kod djece mlađe od 14 godina bolja od procjene temeljene na koncentraciji kreatinina (2).

of age and gender variations on the MCQE derived GFR. Although it has recently been hypothesized that GFR estimation by this newly developed formula might be a more accurate approach (6), the results of this epidemiological analysis confirm that even the MCQE equation might fail to compensate for age and gender variations, requiring implementation of different corrective actions. This is of particular concern, in that the difference between genders and age decades may be due to the fact that smaller body size has lower metabolic demands and shorter individuals require less renal function. As a logical consequence, the lack of age- and gender-adjusted reference ranges for both MDRD and MCQE estimates implies that many patients with moderate to severe renal failure might go misdiagnosed.

We are aware that the outcome of this investigation might have some limitations. In fact, the retrospective audit is only representative of the population served by our laboratory, and we lack clinical information on request forms and one-point follow up analysis. It will therefore require further prospective investigations to confirm these preliminary findings, preferably in more heterogeneous population settings. Moreover, we could not assess GFR by the gold standard approach. However, it is to mention that GFR calculation by the current reference approach that employs the clearance of exogenous filtration markers is discouraged in populations of healthy subjects, and the use of cystatin C-derived equations is superior to creatinine-based prediction equations only in children aged < 14 years (2).

#### Adresa za dopisivanje:

Giuseppe Lippi  
Sezione di Chimica Clinica  
Dipartimento di Scienze Morfologico-Biomediche  
Università degli Studi di Verona  
Ospedale Policlinico G.B. Rossi,  
Piazzale Scuro, 10  
37134 Verona  
Italy  
e-pošta: ulippi@tin.it

#### Corresponding author:

Giuseppe Lippi  
Sezione di Chimica Clinica  
Dipartimento di Scienze Morfologico-Biomediche  
Università degli Studi di Verona  
Ospedale Policlinico G.B. Rossi  
Piazzale Scuro, 10  
37134 – Verona  
Italy  
e-mail: ulippi@tin.it

**Literatura/References**

1. National Kidney Foundation. *K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification*. Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39:S1-S246.
2. Myers GL, Miller WG, Coresh J, Fleming J, Greenberg N, Greene T, et al. National Kidney Disease Education Program Laboratory Working Group. *Recommendations for improving serum creatinine measurement: a report from the Laboratory Working Group of the National Kidney Disease Education Program*. *Clin Chem* 2006;52:5-18.
3. Ruilope LM, Zanchetti A, Julius S, McInnes GT, Segura J, Stolt P, et al. VALUE Investigators. *Prediction of cardiovascular outcome by estimated glomerular filtration rate and estimated creatinine clearance in the high-risk hypertension population of the VALUE trial*. *J Hypertens* 2007;25:1473-9.
4. Saleem M, Florkowski CM, George PM, Woltersdorf WW. *Comparison of two prediction equations with radionuclide glomerular filtration rate: validation in routine use*. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2006;43:309-13.
5. Khatami Z, Handley G, Narayanan K, Weaver JU. *Applicability of estimated glomerular filtration rate in stratifying chronic kidney disease*. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2007;67:297-305.
6. Rule AD, Larson TS, Bergstralh EJ, Slezak JM, Jacobsen SJ, Cosio FG. *Using serum creatinine to estimate glomerular filtration rate: accuracy in good health and in chronic kidney disease*. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;141:929-37.
7. Chi-YuanHsu, Chertow GM, Curhan GC. *Methodological issues in studying the epidemiology of mild to moderate chronic renal insufficiency*. *Kidney Int* 2002;61:1567-76.
8. Rigalleau V, Lasseur C, Raffaitin C, Perlemoine C, Barthe N, Chauveau P, et al. *The Mayo Clinic quadratic equation improves the prediction of glomerular filtration rate in diabetic subjects*. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007;22:813-8.
9. Khatami Z, Handley G, Narayanan K, Weaver JU. *Applicability of estimated glomerular filtration rate in stratifying chronic kidney disease*. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2007;67:297-305.